

**ERISA PREEMPTION and  
STATE HEALTH CARE  
REFORM:  
THE UNSTOPPABLE FORCE  
MEETS THE IMMOVABLE OBJECT**

Mary Ellen Signorille  
Jay E. Sushelsky  
AARP Foundation Litigation

# RELATE TO CLAUSE

- “ ... the provisions of this subchapter shall supersede any and all State laws insofar as they may now or hereafter relate to any employee benefit plan described in section 4(a) and not exempt under section 4(b).”

# SAVINGS CLAUSE

- (A) “Except as provided in subparagraph (B), nothing in this title shall be construed to exempt or relieve any person from any law of any State which regulates insurance, banking, or securities.”

# THE DEEMER CLAUSE

- (B) “Neither an employee benefit plan, . . . nor any trust established under such a plan, shall be deemed to be an insurance company or other insurer, bank, trust company, or investment company ... ”

# A STATE LAW IS MORE LIKELY TO AVOID PREEMPTION IF

- Exercises Traditional state police powers
  - Regulation of Health Care.
- One of general application.
- A tax.
- Congress did not intend to preempt.

# **A STATE LAW IS MOST LIKELY PREEMPTED IF**

- Imposes requirements on plans.
- Deals with plan administration.
- Provides for alternate enforcement mechanisms.
- Deals with plan structure.

# INSURANCE SAVINGS CLAUSE

- A state can regulate insurers and insurance products, and those state laws will not be preempted.
- If a plan purchases an insurance product, a state may regulate the product but not the plan.
- Such regulation results in different state laws but Congress specifically permitted.

# MARYLAND FAIR SHARE ACT

- Imposed a payroll tax on large employers (10,000 or more employees in Maryland).
- Authorized a dollar-for-dollar credit against the tax for amounts covered employers spend on “health insurance costs”.
- Taxes collected by Secretary of Labor, Licensing and Regulation.
  - Used to support Maryland’s Medicaid.



# MARYLAND FAIR SHARE ACT DECISION

- Maryland Act directly regulates plans because no “meaningful alternatives”.
- Since the only rational choice employers have is to meet the minimum spending threshold, the Maryland Act effectively mandates employers to provide a certain level of benefits; therefore is preempted because of “connection with” plans.
- Vast majority of employer spending through ERISA plans and even if employer were to use non-ERISA spending alternatives, it would have to coordinate with ERISA plans.

# OTHER PREEMPTION CHALLENGES

- Providing participants the right to assign their benefits to any service provider, whether or not they are in network.
- Regulation of Pharmacy Benefit Managers.
- Prompt pay laws.
- State medical licensing board's disciplinary inquiry into a physician's judgment.